


TOOLKIT National Workshops in Myanmar and Laos and III project meeting

My home university/institution is:


13 responses



- All participants of the project consortium have responded to the questionnaire

I was satisfied with the quality of communication and interaction between partners before the workshops

13 responses




Infrastructure and organization

- It can be seen that all respondents agreed and were satisfied with quality of communication and interaction between partners before the workshops.

I was satisfied with the workshops venue and arrangements.


13 responses



- 53.8% of respondents agreed with 38.5% in strong favor about the workshop venue and arrangements, but 7.7% were unhappy about these arrangements.

I was satisfied with the hotel accommodation and transportation.

13 responses



- Majority (92.7%) respondents were satisfied with hotel accommodation and transportation with 30.8% in strong agreement. However, 7.7% were neutral in this stance. This was evident in the comments that followed where joining the 2 meetings was appreciated.

Additional comments/questions

It was excellent that the Chinlone and Toolkit meetings could be in conjunction with each other. It saved time, money, and environment.

None.

The meeting room is nice and suitable.

N/A.

Nil.

no comment.

Good arrangement.

Time for the session was not sufficient.

Logistics have been arranged well.

Some participants did not receive the change of workshop venue in advance.

Please send us ppt in advance for us to get ready.


Joining the two workshops is nice and it works out. We have got more knowledge from each other.

- Most of them were satisfied with venue and arrangements. Also, they had a chance to meet up everyone and sharing the ideas and knowledge. Few answered that they need presentations, and other related messages in advance.

Content: TOOLKIT and CHINLONE national workshop on “A global outlook for Myanmar Universities” at University of Yangon

EU Partners presentations were useful and applicable


13 responses



- Over 86% of respondents had agreed that EU partners presentations were useful and applicable. But, 23.1% were not in direct favour to this.

MM Partners presentations on their new strategic plans for internationalization were useful and applicable

13 responses




- Just over a quarter had strongly agreed and 46.2% agreed that MM partners' presentations on their new strategic plans for internationalization were useful and applicable.

Content: TOOLKIT national workshop on “A global outlook for Laotian Universities” at National University of Laos

EU Partners presentations were useful and applicable


13 responses



- Around 85% of the respondents marked that the EU partners’ presentations at National University of Laos were useful and applicable.

Lao Partners presentations on their new strategic plans for internationalization were useful and applicable

12 responses



- Similar to the above, TOOLKIT partners had agreed that Laos partners’ new strategic plans for internationalization for their universities were useful and applicable.

Positive as well as negative comments on the content

Vilnius presentation was the same as in Myanmar and in Vilnius - it would have been better with a new one.

This strategic plan is just a proposed idea for supporting the detail 5 years strategic plan (2021-2025) Each university has now has its own strategic plan, which has been created by international relations staff. However, it might be difficult to have it approved by the leadership board of the university and implemented it collectively as it is not drafted by the leaders of the university as a whole.

We have our internal workshop for getting the ideas and more information of HEIs in Laos.

Nil.

no comment.

More detailed action plans should be presented.

We participated only for the meeting - not the workshop.

Two good opportunities to discuss the country situation and developments.

Insufficient time allocation for TOOLKIT Project.

The EU partners presentations were useful, and we have got some idea on the development of IRO in our university.


We need to explore more experiences in strategic planning for internationalization.

- All the members got some ideas about how to develop strategic plans for their Universities. Also, some of them mentioned their internal matters when implementing these strategic plans, and had concerns on the timing and content of presentations as a whole.

Content: TOOLKIT III Project Meeting

EU Partner presentations on reporting and Project's next steps (end of WP2, WP3 and WP4 trainings) were useful and applicable


13 responses



- Over 75% of respondents that they understood about projects next steps including WP2, WP3, WP4 trainings, with 53.8% in strong favor to this fact.

Directions on how to prepare the list of Equipment to be purchased for the IROs were clear and applicable

13 responses




- A great majority of participants strongly agreed that they were clear the procedure for equipment purchase.

Results

It was clear to me how I and my colleagues have to work for the first reporting period


13 responses



- Most of the members (77%) had understood on how to work with first reporting period. While only a few members were disagreed.

It was clear to me how to prepare the list of equipment for the IRO of my University


13 responses



- To about 85% of participants, it had been clear about the preparing the list of equipment.

It was clear to me how my university will select staff for the TOOLKIT WP4 Training week at Uppsala University


13 responses



- Almost all respondents had understood how to select staff for the TOOLKIT WP4 training week at Uppsala University.

It is clear to me how my university will select staff for the TOOLKIT WP3 training week at University of Bologna

13 responses



- Almost all respondents had understood how to select staff for the TOOLKIT WP3 training week at Bologna University. In the comments that followed, some members had commented that they have some internal problems when it comes to payments of TOOLKIT funds.

Additional comments/questions

Some Asian universities seem to have internal obstacles when it comes to payment of Toolkit funds.

This has to be solved by the university itself and not by the Toolkit coordinator.

No.

Please provide us a few choices for accommodation.

N/A.

Nil.

no comment.

Same staff should be selected for the training at university of Bologna.

Meeting content was clear.

The project meeting ran very smooth.

I didn't participate in the Workshop on NUOL, Laos.

Please arrange another meeting before the training start in national level.

Providing equipments for International Cooperation Office is very helpful.

We are looking forward to have upcoming activities at Uppsala and Bologna.

- Some members had commented that they have some internal problems when it comes to payments of TOOLKIT funds.

Did this TOOLKIT meeting contribute to your University's development? If so, how? What lessons did you learn?

It was valuable to meet the Lao universities that are not part of Toolkit in the Lao national workshop.

It is a great idea to share best practice within a country regardless if you're a partner or not. The same is of course true of Myanmar national workshop.

Clear responsibility, future capacity building for IRO staffs.

Yes, it did. At least a representative from the Lao Ministry of Education and Sports was at the at the workshop and this have given some ideas for the ministry about what our project is which will later contribute to an implementation of our strategic plans. Even though we are Laotian universities, our strategic plans and activities for internationalisation differ. It depends on the university's resources and autonomy.

The lesson learnt will help us to develop the university's strategy plan.

We have learnt many new things throughout the project. We have learnt more about participating in international project especially dedicated to IRO and IRO's staff. We could adopt some better IRO strategy for our University.

Yes, it is.

Yes, internationalization is weak in our university. We learnt the importance of internationalization to be modernized university.

It was useful to plan activities at our university align with Project activities.
Insights on how manage some activities.

Somehow contributed, but not much beneficial as previous two workshops.

Yes. It gave us a good briefing how we use the fund for the project purpose in effective and efficient manner.

Yes, it is. We understand how to organize and share the information between partner's institutions.

Yes, we can share knowledge and experiences among partners.

- All the members' comments were positive, and they satisfied with the two workshops. They learned new things regarding the importance of internationalization and their related processes.

Other comments?

13 responses

