

# Chapter 2. Direct spectral theory - regular case.

$$JY' = zHY \quad Y = Y(x, z), \quad H\text{-Hamilt. on } (0, L)$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_+ & P_+ \\ \Theta_- & P_- \end{pmatrix}$$

$$JM' = zHM$$

$$M(0, z) = I$$

$M(x, z)$  - fundamental solution.

$$E_L(z) := \Theta_+(L, z) + i\Theta_-(L, z)$$

We will see that  $E_L \in HB$

$$(H, A) \rightsquigarrow E_L$$

First of all why  $E_L \in HB$ .

## I. J-contractivity of $M(x, z)$ .

Important formula:

$$M^*(x, z)JM(x, z)J = (z-\bar{z}) \int_0^x M^*(t, z)H(t)M(t, z)dt$$

Proof.  $M^*(x, z)JM(x, z) - M^*(0, z)JM(0, z) =$

$$= \int_0^x (M^*(t, z)JM(t, z))' dt =$$

$$= \int_0^x \left( (M^*)'(t, z)JM(t, z) + M^*(t, z)JM'(t, z) \right) dt =$$

$$= \int_0^x \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} M^*(t, z)H(t)J^2 M(t, z)}_{-I} + \frac{1}{2} M^*(t, z)JH(t)M(t, z) dt$$

$$M' = -zJM$$

$$(M')^* = \bar{z}M^*(t, z)HJ$$

Cor.  $\frac{1}{i} (M^*(x, \lambda) J M(x, \lambda) - J) = 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda \int_0^x M^*(t, \lambda) H M(t, \lambda) dt$

In part.  $\frac{1}{i} (M^*(x, \lambda) J M(x, \lambda) - J) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in (0, L)$   
 $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$

Proof:  $(M^* H M f, f) = (H M f, M f) \geq 0$ , since  $H \geq 0$ .

Def. A matrix  $A$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{i} (A^* J A - J) \geq 0$  is said to be  $J$ -contractive.

/ analogy with  $A^* A \leq I \iff A$  is a contraction /

Prop.  $\forall x \in (0, L) \quad E_x(z) = \Theta_+(x, z) + i \Theta_-(x, z) \in \mathcal{H}B$   
 unless  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  a.e. on  $(0, x)$ .

Proof:  $J M(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Theta_- & -\Phi_- \\ \Theta_+ & \Phi_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad M^* = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Theta_+(x, z)} & \overline{\Theta_-(x, z)} \\ \overline{\Phi_+(x, z)} & \overline{\Phi_-(x, z)} \end{pmatrix}$

First entry is:

$$\frac{1}{i} \left( -\overline{\Theta_+(x, z)} \Theta_-(x, z) + \overline{\Theta_-(x, z)} \Theta_+(x, z) \right) =$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Im}(\Theta_+(x, z) \overline{\Theta_-(x, z)})$$

and  $2 \operatorname{Im} z \int_0^x \overline{\Theta}^T(t, z) H \Theta(t, z) dt =$   
 $= 2 \operatorname{Im} z \int_0^x (H(t) \Theta(t, z), \Theta(t, z)) dt$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Im}(\Theta_+(x, z) \overline{\Theta_-(x, z)}) \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}^+$

$$|E_x(z)| - |E_x(\bar{z})|^2 = 4 \operatorname{Im}(\Theta_+(x, z) \overline{\Theta_-(x, z)})$$

So  $|E_x(z)| \geq |E_x(\bar{z})|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+$

□

But we need strict inequality:

$$\operatorname{Im}(\Theta_+(x, z) \overline{\Theta_-(x, z)}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (H(t) \Theta(t, z) \overline{\Theta(t, z)}) = 0$$

a.e.  $t \in (0, x)$   
 $H(t, z) \in \ker H(t)$

But  $\mathcal{L}\Theta' = z H \Theta \Rightarrow \Theta' = 0$  t.a.e.

$\Theta(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $\Theta(t, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $(0, x)$

Then  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   $H = (\cdot, e) e$ ,  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

One more corollary of the formula. (Why do we need it?)

Put  $\lambda = 0$ . Then  $\gamma M(x, z) = z \int_0^x H(t) M(t, z) dt$

Differentiate w.r.p. to  $z$  (denote with point!) at  $z=0$

$$\gamma \dot{M}(x, 0) = \int_0^x H(t) \underbrace{M(t, 0)}_{\substack{\text{has trace } x \\ \text{I''}}} dt \geq 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\dot{\Theta}_-(x, 0) & -\dot{\Phi}_-(x, 0) \\ \dot{\Theta}_+(x, 0) & \dot{\Phi}_+(x, 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

Corollary:  $\dot{\Theta}_-(x, 0) \leq 0$ ,  $\dot{\Phi}_+(x, 0) \geq 0$ ,  
 $\gamma \dot{M}(x, 0) = \dot{\Phi}_+(x, 0) - \dot{\Theta}_-(x, 0) = x$ .

## Ch2. Direct spectral theory (Reg. case)

7. Construction of an operator.

We want to construct a certain (unbdd, selfadjoint) operator, associated with  $H$  essentially if  $f' = Hg$ , then  $f \mapsto g$

In part, solutions of our system become eigenvectors

$$L^2([0, L], H) = \left\{ f: [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2: \int_0^L (Hf, f) dt < \infty \right\}$$

$\|f\|^2$

But  $H$  is not invertible. This leads to some technical problems.

E.g. assume that  $\exists I \subset (0, L)$  s.t.  $H$  is a

constant rank one matrix,  $H(x) = (\cdot, e)e$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{R}^2$  ( $\|e\|=1$ )

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} e_+^2 & e_+ e_- \\ e_+ e_- & e_-^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$f' = Hg \in \text{Lin}\{e\}$  on  $I$  Multiply by  $e^\perp = \exists e$

$$(f', e^\perp) = 0 \Rightarrow (f', e) \text{ is a constant on } I.$$

which means that  $f(x) = \text{const}$  a.e. on  $I$  as an element of  $L^2([0, L], H)$ .

Def. An interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  is called  $H$ -indivisible, if  $\exists e \in \mathbb{R}^2: H(x) = (\cdot, e)e$ , a.e.  $x \in I$ , and there is no larger interval  $I' \supset I$  where this equality holds. 1.

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ f \in L^2([0, 4], H) : \forall I - H \text{-indiv.} \right\}$$

$\mathcal{H}$  is chosen so that any solution  $y' = zHy$  is on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} : \begin{array}{l} f \text{ abs. cont. on } [0, 4] \\ \exists g \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{H}f' = Hg \\ f_-(0) = f_-(4) = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Then we define  $D: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by  $\boxed{Df = g}$

There is still one technical problem. It may happen that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Assume that  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\cdot, e)e$ ,  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $(0, \varepsilon)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}f' = Hg$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{D}$

$(f, e)$  is constant, i.e.  $f_-$  is constant on  $(0, \varepsilon)$

$f_-(0) = 0 \Rightarrow f_- \equiv 0$  on  $(0, \varepsilon)$ . Now  $h = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & 0 < x < \varepsilon \\ 0, & x > \varepsilon \end{cases}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Th.  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is spanned by the functions of the form  $\psi_1 = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & 0 < x < \varepsilon \\ 0, & x > \varepsilon \end{cases}$  and  $\psi_2 = \begin{cases} e, & L - \varepsilon < x < L \\ 0, & 0 < x < L - \varepsilon \end{cases}$  for those  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  which belong to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Proof. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\varphi \perp \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\langle g, e^\perp \rangle_H = 0 \quad f(x) := \int_0^x H(t)g(t)dt$$

Then  $f \in \mathcal{D}$

$$f_-(L) = (f(L), e) = \left( \int_0^L H(t)g(t)dt, e \right) =$$

$\boxed{2}$

$$= \int_0^L (\int H(t)g(t), e) dt = - \int_0^L (H(t)g(t), \underbrace{e^\perp}_{\int e}) dt =$$

Then

$$0 = \langle \varphi, f \rangle_H = \int_0^L (H(x)\varphi(x), \int_0^x H(t)g(t) dt) dx =$$

$$= - \int_0^L \underbrace{\left( \int_0^x H(x)\varphi(x) dx \right)}_{\varphi(x)}, H(t)g(t) dt = - \langle \varphi, g \rangle_H$$

Note that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ . On an indivisible

interval  $I = (a, b)$   $H(x) = (\cdot, e_I) e_I$

$$\varphi(t) = \int (\varphi(a) + C(t) e_I) = \int \varphi(a) + C(t) e_I$$

$$e_I^\perp = 0 \text{ a.e. on } I \text{ (as element of } L^2([0, L], H))$$

So  $\varphi = \text{const}$  a.e. on  $I$ .

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \langle \varphi, g \rangle_H = 0 \quad \forall g : g \perp e^\perp \text{ in } L^2([0, L], H)$$

Hence  $\varphi(t)$  is in the equivalence class of  $e^\perp$ ,

$$\varphi(t) = \underbrace{c}_{\int e} e^\perp + \Omega(t), \quad \Omega(t) \in \text{Ker } H(t) \text{ a.e. } t$$

$\varphi$  abs cont.  $\Rightarrow \Omega$  abs cont.

$$\mathcal{M} := \{ t \in (0, L) : \Omega(t) \neq 0 \} \text{ open set, union of intervals}$$

Rank  $H = 1$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , so  $\exists \zeta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$H(x) = (\cdot, \zeta(x)) \zeta(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathcal{M}$$

$$\Omega(x) \perp \zeta(x) \text{ n.e. } x \Rightarrow \Omega(x) = \omega(x) \int \zeta(x)$$

$$\text{with } \omega(x) = \|\Omega(x)\| \quad \|\Omega(x)\| \text{ abs cont.} \Rightarrow \zeta(x)$$

abs cont. on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(x) \int \zeta(x) + \omega(x) \int \zeta'(x) &= P'(x) = \\ &= -\int H(x) \varphi(x) = -(\varphi(x), \zeta(x)) \int \zeta(x) \\ \zeta'(x) \perp \zeta(x) \quad (\zeta(x), \zeta(x)) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \omega(x) \zeta'(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \zeta(x) = \text{const}$  on each interval in  $M \Rightarrow$  any interval of  $M$  is a subinterval in some  $\mathcal{H}$ -indiv. interval

$\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \varphi$  const on any subint of  $M$ , hence  $\omega'$  is const. on intervals in  $M$ .

Thus,  $\forall I \subset M$  <sub>comp-t</sub>  $\exists e_I \in \mathbb{R}^2$ :  $S_I(x) = (\text{linear funct. of } x) \cdot \int e_I$

If  $I = (0, L)$  is a comp. of  $M$  which has no 0 or  $L$  as endpoints, then  $S_I = 0$  on endpoints  $\Rightarrow S_I \equiv 0$  on  $I$ , !!!

Thus,  $M = I_0 \cup I_L$ ,  $I_0 = (0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $I_L = (L - \varepsilon, L)$   
at most

$$H(x) \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} c_0 e_0, & x \in I_0 \\ c_L e_L, & x \in I_L \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Indeed,  $\int H \varphi = \omega' \int \zeta$   $\omega'$  and  $\zeta$  are const on any interval in  $M$ .

$P(L) = 0$ . Hence,  $S_L(L) = -c \int e = S_L(x)$   
 $S_L \in \text{Ker } H \Rightarrow H = (\cdot, e) e^{\perp}$  <sub>on  $I_L$</sub>

For the case of  $I_0$  ( $e_0 = e$ ) one should consider  $f(x) = \int_x^L H(t) g(t) dt$

Th. Assume that there is no  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $H = (\cdot, e)e$  on  $(0, \varepsilon)$  or on  $(L - \varepsilon, L)$ ,  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Then  $D$  is dense on  $\mathcal{H}$  and

$$D: f \mapsto g, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}$$

is a correctly defined selfadjoint operator.

Exerc. about resolvent

$D^*$  exists  $\mathcal{D}^* = \{h: g \mapsto (Dg, h) \text{ is a continuous linear functional}\}$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $f_-(0) = f^L(0) = 0$  - corresp  $Jf' = Hg$

$$\langle Df, f \rangle_H = \int_0^L (Hf, f) = \int_0^L (Jf', f) =$$

$$= \int_0^L (-f' \bar{f}_+ + f' \bar{f}_-) = 2i \operatorname{Im} \int_0^L (f' \bar{f}_-) =$$

$$- \int_0^L (f_+ \bar{f}' - f_- \bar{f}'_+) = \int_0^L (f, Jf') = \langle f, Df \rangle_H$$

So  $D$  is symmetric,  $D \subset D^*$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$ ,  $g = D^*f$ . Let  $\tilde{f}$  be the solution

$$\text{of } J\tilde{f}' = Hg, \quad \tilde{f}' = -J\tilde{f}^* Hg$$

Then  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}$ .

We need to verify that  $\tilde{f}_-(L) = 0$

Const. vector  $e^\perp \in \mathcal{D}$  (with  $g \equiv 0$ )

$$\langle g, e^\perp \rangle_H = \langle f, D e^\perp \rangle_H = 0$$

$$\int_0^L (Hg, e^\perp) = \int_0^L (J\tilde{f}', e^\perp) = - \int_0^L (\tilde{f}', e) = -\tilde{f}(L) + \tilde{f}(0)$$

□



Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Du, f \rangle_H &= \langle u, g \rangle_H = \int_0^x (u, \tilde{f}') = \\ &= (u, \tilde{f}) \Big|_0^x + \int_0^x (Du', \tilde{f}) = \langle Du, \tilde{f} \rangle_H \end{aligned}$$

$\int u' = H v \Big|_{Du}$

Let  $h \in \mathcal{H} : \langle h, e^\perp \rangle_H = 0$  and  $u(x) = -\int_0^x H h$

Then  $h = Du$   $\tilde{f} - \tilde{f} \perp h \forall h \langle h, e^\perp \rangle_H = 0$

Thus,  $\tilde{f} - \tilde{f} = c e^\perp$ , that is  $\tilde{f} - c e^\perp$  is a representative of equivalence class of  $\tilde{f}$ .

$\tilde{f} - c e^\perp \in \mathcal{D}$  (and so  $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D}$ ) Why?  
 $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $e^\perp \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Th. Let  $H$  be a Hamiltonian on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  with  $H = (\cdot; \varepsilon)$  and also  $\exists B : (B; \infty)$  is indivisible.

$\mathcal{D}$  - the same, without condition on right end

Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{D} : f \mapsto g$  is a selfadj operator.

In the regular case one can consider other selfadjoint conditions on the right end.

Th Let  $e_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $l < \infty, \alpha \in [0, \pi]$

Cond. on  $l$ :  $\exists \varepsilon > 0 : H = (\cdot; \alpha) e_\alpha$  on  $(l - \varepsilon, l)$ . □ 6.

$\mathcal{D}_\lambda = \{ \dots \text{ with } f_-(L) = 0 \text{ replaced by } \}$   
 $\mathcal{D}_\lambda: f \mapsto g \text{ s.t. } f + (L) \cos x + f_-(L) \sin x = 0$   
 $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$  is selfadjoint.

---

## II. Generalized Fourier transform

Important identity:  $M = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_+ & \Phi_+ \\ \Theta_- & \Phi_- \end{pmatrix}$

$$M^*(x, \lambda) \mathcal{J} M(x, z) - \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} M' = z H M$$

$$= (z - \bar{\lambda}) \int_0^x M^*(t, \lambda) H(t) M(t, z) dt$$

$$M^*(x, \lambda) \mathcal{J} M(x, z) - \mathcal{J} = \int_0^x (M^*(t, \lambda) \mathcal{J} M(t, z))' dt =$$

$$= \int_0^x (M^*(t, \lambda))' \mathcal{J} M(t, z) + M^*(t, \lambda) \mathcal{J} M'(t, z) dt$$

$$- (z H M(t, \lambda))^* = -\bar{\lambda} M^*(t, \lambda) H \mathcal{J}^* \mathcal{J} = z H M(t, z)$$

$$(M'(t, \lambda))^* = (-z H M)^* = -\bar{\lambda} M^* H \mathcal{J}^* \mathcal{J} \quad \text{209.}$$

Cre  $C \quad z = \lambda$

Prop.  $\forall x \in (0, L)$   $E_x(z) = \Theta_+(x, z) + i \Theta_-(x, z) \in HB$   
 unless  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$  a.e. on  $(0, x)$ .

$$\mathcal{J} M(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Theta_- & -\Phi_- \\ \Theta_+ & \Phi_+ \end{pmatrix} \quad -\Theta_+(x, \bar{\lambda}) \Theta_-(x, z) + \Theta(x, \bar{\lambda}) \Theta_+(x, z)$$

$$= (z - \bar{\lambda}) \int_0^x \Theta^T(t, \bar{\lambda}) H(t) \Theta(t, z) dt$$

$$\lambda = z$$

$$\Im m [\Theta_+(x, z) \overline{\Theta_-(x, z)}] = \Im m z \int_0^x (H \Theta, \Theta) dt$$

$$|E(z)|^2 - |E(\bar{z})|^2 = 4 \Im m (A(z) \overline{B(z)})$$

$\Rightarrow |E_x(z)|^2 \geq |E_x(\bar{z})|^2 \geq 0$ . We need a strict ineq! 7.

$0 \Leftrightarrow \Theta(t, z) \in \text{Ker } H(t) \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, x)$   
 Hence,  $\Theta' = 0$  a.e. on  $(0, x)$ . Since  $\Theta(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we see that  $\Theta(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $H = (\cdot; e)_e$ ,  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Assume that  $L < \infty$ ,  $H \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $(0, L)$   
 Then  $E_2 \in HB$ , the space  $\mathcal{H}(E_2)$  exists.  
 $K_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \Theta_z, \Theta_\lambda \rangle_H = \Theta(x, z) = \Theta_z(x)$   
 $= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^L \overline{\Theta_\lambda(t, \lambda)}^T H \Theta(t, z) dt =$   
 $= \frac{1}{\pi(z-\lambda)} \left[ \Theta_+(x, z) \Theta_-(x, \lambda) - \Theta_-(x, z) \Theta_+(x, \lambda) \right]$   
 It is correct since  $\boxed{\Theta_- = -B}$

Th. Assume that  $(L)$  is satisfied. Then  $\int_0^L (H \delta, \Theta_w) dt = \int_0^L (\delta, H \Theta_w) = \int_0^L \delta^T H \Theta_w$   
 $U: \delta \mapsto \frac{F(\omega)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \langle \delta, \Theta_w \rangle_H$  is an isomorphism of  $H$  onto  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ .

Proof:  $(U \Theta_\lambda)(\omega) = \sqrt{\pi} K_\lambda(\omega) = \sqrt{\pi} K_\lambda^*(\omega)$   
 $U \Theta_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}(E) \forall \lambda$   
 $(U \Theta_\lambda, U \Theta_\lambda) = \pi \langle K_\lambda^*, K_\lambda^* \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(E)} = \pi (K_\lambda, K_\lambda) = \pi (K_\lambda^*, K_\lambda^*) = \langle \Theta_\lambda, \Theta_\lambda \rangle_H$   
 $U$  isometry on  $\overline{\text{Span}\{\Theta_\lambda\}}$

Problem to show that  $\{\Theta_b\}$  are complete in  $H$ .

Here we need use opers  $D_\alpha$ . Choose  $\alpha$ : cond.  $(R_1)$  with  $\alpha$  is satisfied on the right end.

Then  $\{\Theta(x, \lambda_k)\}$  with  $\lambda_k$ :

$$\Theta_+(L, \lambda_k) \cos \alpha + \Theta_-(L, \lambda_k) \sin \alpha = 0$$

$\square$

satisfy  $\mathcal{D}'(x, \lambda_k) = \lambda_k H \mathcal{D}(x, \lambda_k)$   
 and  $\mathcal{D}_-(0, \lambda_k) = 0$ , so  $\mathcal{D}_+(x, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{D}_d$   
 and  $D_d \mathcal{D}(\cdot, \lambda_k) = \lambda_k \mathcal{D}(\cdot, \lambda_k)$  Clearly there are no other eigenvectors for!

$D_d$  is selfadj. It also has discrete spectrum. Its resolvent is an integral operator with a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel.

More interesting question?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} f'(x) &= \lambda_k H f & f_-(0) &= 0 \\ f &= \beta \mathcal{D} + \gamma \mathcal{Q} & f_+(L) \cos \lambda L + f_-(L) \sin \lambda L &= 0 \\ & & \mathcal{Q}_-(0) = 1 &\Rightarrow \gamma = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Def.  $E \in \text{HB}$  is regular, if  $\frac{1}{(z+i)E(z)} \in H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)$   
 (Then in particular  $E \in \text{Cart}$  and  $E$  of finite exp. type by Krein)

Th.  $E_L$  is regular.

Proof.  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{P}_2 \in \mathcal{H}(E_L)$ . Let us compute it

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{P}_2(\omega) &= \int_0^L \mathcal{P}_2^T H \mathcal{D}_\omega = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^L \mathcal{P}_2^T \mathcal{D}'_\omega = \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \int_0^L \mathcal{P}_2^T \mathcal{D}_\omega \Big|_0^L - \int_0^L (\mathcal{P}_2^T)' \mathcal{D}_\omega \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \mathcal{P}_2^T(L) \mathcal{D}_\omega(L) - 1 + \int_0^L (\mathcal{H} \mathcal{P}_2)^T \mathcal{D}_\omega - \int_0^L \mathcal{P}_2^T H \mathcal{D}'_\omega \right) \\ \mathcal{U} \mathcal{P}_2(\omega) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^T(L) \mathcal{D}_\omega(L) - 1}{\omega - 2} \in \mathcal{H}(E_L) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^T(L, z)}{E_L} \in H^2 \quad \forall \text{ take } \omega = -i \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(2+i)E(z)} \in H^2$$

Rem.  $\mathcal{H}(E)$  is regular  $\Leftrightarrow \forall f \in \mathcal{H}(E) \frac{f - f(0)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}(E)$

Proof:  $\frac{f - f(0)}{z} \in H^2$