

Table 1 – Countries and Actors Involved in the Attempted Cross-Border Adoption of IPAB

This table provides information on all the countries in which either an individual or a collective actor attempted to adopt the I Paid a Bribe initiative and is part of the article Lo Piccolo, Alessandra; Mattoni, Alice. “Unpacking the Transnational Dimension of Anti-Corruption Activism: Insights from I Paid a Bribe and the Challenge of Diffusing Grassroots Technology Across Borders.” In *Oxford Intersections: Borders*. Ed. Valentina Baú. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, forthcoming.

The data included in this table has been gathered through desk research and fieldwork, that included in-depth interviews with activists and documental research, conducted between 2020 and 2024 both offline and online. The data concerning the state of activity are updated to the year 2024.

N	COUNTRY OF (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION	STATUS IN THE IPAB INTERNATIONAL NETWORK	MAIN ACTOR INVOLVED IN THE (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION	STATE OF ACTIVITY
1	AZERBAIJAN	Member of the Network	Individual	Discontinued
2	COLOMBIA	Member of the Network	Individual	Discontinued
3	GREECE	Member of the Network	Individual	Online but inactive
4	GUYANA	Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	Discontinued
5	HAITI	Member of the Network	n.a.	Discontinued
6	HUNGARY	Member of the Network	Collective / Media Organization	Discontinued
7	KENYA	Member of the Network	Individual	Discontinued
8	LIBERIA	Member of the Network	Collective / Media Organization	Discontinued
9	MOROCCO	Member of the Network	Collective / Transparency International	Discontinued
10	PAKISTAN	Member of the Network	Individual	Discontinued
11	SERBIA	Member of the Network	Collective / Advocacy Organization	Discontinued
12	SRI LANKA	Member of the Network	Individual	Online but inactive
13	SYRIA	Member of the Network	Collective / Tech Organization	Discontinued
14	UKRAINE	Member of the Network	Individual	Discontinued
15	ZIMBABWE	Member of the Network	Individual	Discontinued
16	AFGHANISTAN	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.

N	COUNTRY OF (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION	STATUS IN THE IPAB INTERNATIONAL NETWORK	MAIN ACTOR INVOLVED IN THE (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION	STATE OF ACTIVITY
17	ARMENIA	Prospective Member	Collective / Advocacy Organization	n.a.
18	ARGENTINA	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.
19	BRAZIL	Prospective Member	n.a.	n.a.
20	CAMEROON	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.
21	ETHIOPIA	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.
22	MALI	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.
23	MEXICO	Prospective Member	Collective / Advocacy Organization	n.a.
24	MOLDOVA	Prospective Member	n.a.	n.a.
25	NEPAL	Prospective Member	Collective / Transparency International	n.a.
26	PHILIPPINES	Prospective Member	Organization	n.a.
27	SENEGAL	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.
28	SIERRA LEONE	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.
29	TUNISIA	Prospective Member	Individual	n.a.
30	YEMEN	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
31	MYANMAR	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
32	COLOMBIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
33	ITALY	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Tech Organization	n.a.
34	UNITED STATES	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
35	IRAN	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
36	CAMEROON	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
37	GUATEMALA	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Advocacy Organization	n.a.
38	EGYPT	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
39	BANGLADESH	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Advocacy Organization	n.a.
40	AFGHANISTAN	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Tech Organization	n.a.

N	COUNTRY OF (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION	STATUS IN THE IPAB INTERNATIONAL NETWORK	MAIN ACTOR INVOLVED IN THE (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION	STATE OF ACTIVITY
41	LEBANON	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Transparency International	n.a.
42	SOUTH AFRICA	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	n.a.
43	INDONESIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
44	MONGOLIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
45	VIETNAM	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
46	BURKINA FASO	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	n.a.
47	NAMIBIA	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	n.a.
48	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
49	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	n.a.
50	JORDAN	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
51	BULGARIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
52	IRELAND	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	n.a.
53	IRAQ	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
54	BHUTAN	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	n.a.
55	BOLIVIA	Not a Member of the Network	n.a.	n.a.
56	CAMBODIA	Not a Member of the Network	Collective / Institution	n.a.
57	GEORGIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
58	NIGERIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
59	ROMANIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
60	SPAIN	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
61	TANZANIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
62	TURKEY	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
63	UGANDA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
64	ANGOLA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.

<i>N</i>	<i>COUNTRY OF (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION</i>	<i>STATUS IN THE IPAB INTERNATIONAL NETWORK</i>	<i>MAIN ACTOR INVOLVED IN THE (ATTEMPTED) ADOPTION</i>	<i>STATE OF ACTIVITY</i>
65	IRAN	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
66	RUSSIA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
67	CHINA	Not a Member of the Network	Individual	n.a.
68	KOSOVO	Creation of Different Website	Collective / Media Organization	Online and Active
69	GHANA	Creation of Different Website	Collective / Transparency International	Discontinued
70	MOROCCO	Creation of Different Website	Collective / Transparency International	n.a.

Country of (attempted) adoption: the country in which there was at least an initial attempt to adopt the IPAB initiative and its website, that allowed people to report whether they paid a bribe to a public official.

Status in the IPAB international network: in some countries, IPAB considered the actors that attempted to adopt the initiative as part of its informal international network (member of the network) since there was some sort of interaction between IPAB and those who wanted to replicate its website in another country, while in other countries IPAB considered the actors as prospective members not yet fully involved in the network (prospective network); in other countries, instead, the attempted adoption occurred without any recognition from IPAB (not a member of the network) and yet in other countries the actors involved in the attempted adoption decided to develop similar, but distinct initiatives and websites to allow the reporting of bribes (creation of different website).

Main actors involved in the (attempted) adoption: in some countries the attempted adoption occurred because one or more individual actors – ranging from concerned citizens with no prior experience in anti-corruption to anti-corruption practitioners that had connections to well-structured anti-corruption NGOs – decided to replicate IPAB in their countries, often after having heard about the initiatives from the media, including social media; in other countries, instead, already existing collective actors – including media organizations, advocacy organizations, tech organizations and public institutions – often familiar with anti-corruption initiatives, decided to seek to reproduce IPAB in their respective countries.

State of activity: the state of activity of the IPAB website adopted in the country at stake, where discontinued means that the website was online but it has been discontinued after a certain period of time, online but inactive means that the website is still online but not updated or working properly, and online and active means that the website was still up and running at time of fieldwork. For most of the attempted adoptions of IPAB, it was not possible to find any trace of the website that was probably never implemented.